Functional Genomic Architecture of Predisposition to Voluntary Exercise in Mice: Expression QTL in the Brain

Scott A. Kelly,*¹ Derrick L. Nehrenberg,* Kunjie Hua,* Theodore Garland, Jr.,* and Daniel Pomp** *Department of Genetics and [†]Department of Nutrition, Department of Cell and Molecular Physiology, Carolina Center for Genome Sciences, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-7264, and [‡]Department of Biology, University of California, Riverside, California 92521

ABSTRACT The biological basis of voluntary exercise is complex and simultaneously controlled by peripheral (ability) and central (motivation) mechanisms. The accompanying natural reward, potential addiction, and the motivation associated with exercise are hypothesized to be regulated by multiple brain regions, neurotransmitters, peptides, and hormones. We generated a large (n = 815) advanced intercross line of mice (G_4) derived from a line selectively bred for increased wheel running (high runner) and the C57BL/6J inbred strain. We previously mapped multiple quantitative trait loci (QTL) that contribute to the biological control of voluntary exercise levels, body weight, and composition, as well as changes in body weight and composition in response to short-term exercise. Currently, using a subset of the G_4 population (n = 244), we examined the transcriptional landscape relevant to neurobiological aspects of voluntary exercise by means of global mRNA expression profiles from brain tissue. We identified genome-wide expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) regulating variation in mRNA abundance and determined the mode of gene action and the *cis*- and/or *trans*-acting nature of each eQTL. Subsets of *cis*-acting eQTL, colocalizing with QTL for exercise or body composition traits, were used to identify candidate genes based on both positional and functional evidence, which were further filtered by correlational and exclusion mapping analyses. Specifically, we discuss six plausible candidate genes (*Insig2, Socs2, DBY, Arrdc4, Prcp, IL15*) and their potential role in the regulation of voluntary activity, body composition, and their interactions. These results develop a potential initial model of the underlying functional genomic architecture of predisposition to voluntary exercise and its effects on body weight and composition within a neurophysiological framework.

VOLUNTARY exercise levels are considerably variable in mice (*e.g.*, Lightfoot *et al.* 2004) and humans (*e.g.*, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2003); may be an important predictor of human health-related quality of life (Booth *et al.* 2000; Friedenreich and Orenstein 2002); and are influenced by multiple environmental variables, genetic factors, and their interactions (review by Garland *et al.* 2011b). Furthermore, multiple lines of evidence suggest that the biological basis of voluntary exercise behavior is composed of both ability and motivation (Waters *et al.* 2008; Meek *et al.* 2009; reviewed in Knab and Lightfoot

2010; Garland *et al.* 2011b). These two components are almost certainly not mutually exclusive in their contribution to voluntary exercise, their relative influence may vary among individuals, and each undoubtedly has a genetic basis (Dishman 2008; Bray *et al.* 2009).

From a neurobiological perspective, exercise in humans and rodents is hypothesized to be self-rewarding (*e.g.*, Brené *et al.* 2007), potentially addictive (MacLaren and Best 2010), and a highly motivated behavior (Sherwin 1998). For example, Sherwin and Nicol (1996) demonstrated that mice are motivated to engage in wheel running, even when the cost of gaining access to a wheel is increased by requiring shallow water traverses. Not only are mice apparently willing to incur a cost to gain access to a running wheel, but also operant conditioning studies have demonstrated that both rats and mice are motivated to lever press for the opportunity to run (Belke 2006; Belke and Garland 2007). In addition, selective breeding for both elevated endurance

Copyright © 2012 by the Genetics Society of America doi: 10.1534/genetics.112.140509

Manuscript received January 15, 2012; accepted for publication March 20, 2012 Supporting information is available online at http://www.genetics.org/content/ suppl/2012/03/30/genetics.112.140509.DC1.

¹Corresponding author: Ohio Wesleyan University, Schimmel/Conrades Science Center #346, 61 S. Sandusky St., Delaware, OH 43015. E-mail: sakelly@owu.edu

capacity in rats and elevated wheel running in mice has resulted in alterations to neurobiological pathways that appear to delay the onset of exercise-induced fatigue (rats: Foley et al. 2006) and increase motivation for wheel-running behavior (mice: Rhodes et al. 2005). Although a detailed understanding of the neurobiology of exercise is still years away, potential mechanistic foundations include multiple brain regions encompassing interactions between neurotransmitters, peptides, and hormones (see figure 1 in Kotz et al. 2008; figure 5 in Garland et al. 2011b). More specifically, pharmacological experiments on mice selectively bred for elevated wheel running have implicated alterations in dopamine function (Rhodes et al. 2005; Knab and Lightfoot 2010; Mathes et al. 2010) and endocannabinoid signaling (Keeney et al. 2008) as underlying the neurobiology of high voluntary exercise.

In addition to voluntary exercise, dopamine and endocannabinoid signaling, among other central nervous system processes, have also been linked to aspects of eating behavior and obesity (Cagniard et al. 2006; Davis et al. 2008; Garland et al. 2011b). The interactions of these redundant neural systems are currently poorly understood (Lenard and Berthoud 2008), but it has been demonstrated in mice (Kumar et al. 2010) and humans (Cai et al. 2006) that food intake and physical activity, both components of energy balance, may be governed by a similar underlying genetic architecture. For example, Mathes et al. (2010) observed significant differential gene expression, with associated changes in the dopamine pathway (D1 and D2 receptors), G-proteins, and adenylate cyclase in mice selectively bred for either high running or obesity relative to a nonselected outbred strain of mice.

Previously, we generated an advanced intercross line (AIL; G_4) of mice that is broadly useful for investigation of the phenotypic relationships and genetic architecture of voluntary exercise behavior and related body composition traits. The AIL was created through reciprocal crosses between a line selectively bred for high voluntary wheel running and the inbred strain C57BL/6J (Kelly et al. 2010a). The use of an AIL (as opposed to an F_2 population) resulted in a threefold expansion of the genetic map (Kelly et al. 2010b) and led to increased QTL mapping resolution with reduced confidence intervals (C.I.'s) (Farber et al. 2011). The high-runner (HR) line utilized in creation of the AIL is a result of a replicated artificial selection experiment for increased voluntary wheel-running behavior on days 5 and 6 of a 6-day wheel exposure (Swallow et al. 1998). The HR lines have diverged significantly from the control lines with an \sim 2.5- to 3-fold increase in total revolutions/day with correlated changes in a number of morphological, physiological, and behavioral traits (reviewed in Swallow et al. 2009; Garland et al. 2011a).

Using this AIL, we have previously reported multiple QTL for voluntary exercise traits including daily wheel running (distance, duration, average speed, and maximum speed), running values averaged across days 5 and 6 of the 6-day

test, and the running trajectory (slope and intercept) across the wheel-access period (Kelly et al. 2010b). We have also localized QTL controlling relationships between voluntary exercise, food consumption, and changes in body weight and composition (Kelly et al. 2011). In this report, as a complementary approach to further our understanding of the genetic architecture of exercise and its relationship with changes in body weight and composition, we examined global gene expression profiles in brain tissue. By combining QTL mapping with large-scale gene expression analysis, our primary goal was to further dissect these complex traits and make the selection of candidate genes underlying predisposition loci more efficient (e.g., Pomp 2005). By initially using brain tissue, we attempted to capture the transcriptional landscape relevant to motivational aspects of voluntary exercise. Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) were identified, their additive and dominance gene action calculated, and the cis- and/or trans-acting nature determined. Subsets of cis-acting eOTL that mapped under loci for exercise or body composition traits were used to produce a list of potential candidate genes on the basis of their genomic position and/or known function. Combined with correlational and exclusion mapping analyses, these results begin to develop a more detailed description of the underlying genetic architecture of predisposition to voluntary exercise and its effects on body weight and composition within a neurophysiological framework.

Materials and Methods

Population and phenotyping

A G₄ population (n = 815) was generated from a reciprocal cross between mice selectively bred for high voluntary wheel running (HR line) and the inbred strain C57BL/6J (B6). Details regarding the creation and phenotyping of the G₄ population have been described elsewhere (Kelly *et al.* 2010a). Only methodological details relevant to the current suite of phenotypes and the corresponding statistical analyses will be described here. Details regarding the final set of SNPs utilized for the QTL analyses (n = 530), with an average genome-wide spacing of 4.7 Mb, have been provided elsewhere (Kelly *et al.* 2010b), and complete genotypes are provided in the Supporting Information, File S1.

 G_4 mice at ~8 weeks of age were assessed for body weight and composition (percentage fat tissue and percentage lean tissue) (EchoMRI-100, Echo Medical Systems, Houston, TX) and then were individually housed with access to running wheels (circumference = 1.1 m) (Lafayette Instruments, Lafayette, IN; model 80850) for 6 sequential days. Voluntary running was recorded electronically at 1min intervals for 23–24 hr daily. The following daily traits were calculated: distance (total revolutions), time spent running (cumulative 1-min intervals in which at least 1 revolution was recorded), average speed (total revolutions/ time spent running), and maximum speed (highest number of revolutions in any 1-min interval within a 24-hr period). In addition, we calculated mean values of the above traits on days 5 and 6 of the 6-day test, and the slope and intercept for regressions of distance, time, average speed, and maximum speed across the 6 days of wheel exposure. After body weight and composition measures were taken on day 6, mice were decapitated and brains were immediately harvested, placed on a chilled aluminum block, separated into left and right hemispheres, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° . Percentage change in body mass in response to 6 days of voluntary wheel running was calculated as [(pre-wheel mass – post-wheel mass)/pre-wheel mass] \times 100. Percentage change (after wheel access) in percentage body fat (and lean) was calculated as [(% post-wheel access -% pre-wheel access] \times 100. All procedures were approved by and were conducted in accordance with the guidelines set forth by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

RNA isolation and microarray analysis

Total RNA was isolated and purified from a homogenate of the right hemisphere of the brain from a subset of the G_4 population using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The subpopulation (n = 244) was utilized due to financial limitations in running microarrays and represented the population-wide variation in running distance. Among the subpopulation, each of two parent-of-origin types [whether a G₄ individual was descended from a progenitor (F₀) cross of HRQ \times B6° or $B6Q \times HR\sigma$] and sexes were equally represented, as these two factors have known effects on wheel-running behavior and body composition-related traits (see Kelly et al. 2010a). Within each of the four subpopulation categories, the 62 individuals were nonrandomly chosen to represent the entire distribution of running distance (*i.e.*, low, moderate, and high runners) observed across each subpopulation and across the full population. Four individuals were removed from the final analyses due to a lack of genotype information.

Following isolation and purification, RNA quality and quantity was determined by spectrophotometry (Nano-Drop ND-1000, NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and bio-analysis (Genomics and Bioinformatics Core Facility, Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC). Expression profiles were generated for 45,281 transcripts using the MouseWG-6 v2.0 Beadchip (Illumina, San Diego) and processed utilizing Microarray Services (Expression Analysis; Durham, NC). Following Gordon et al. (2010), transcript expression profiles were normalized using Loess-Quantile normalization methods with R v 2.8.1 statistical software (R Development Core Team, 2008; http:// www.r-project.org, lumi package) (see File S2). Transcripts with a detection score ≥ 0.95 were considered to be expressed above background and utilized for correlation and eQTL (Burns et al. 2010; Gordon et al. 2010; O'Leary and Osborne 2011).

Correlation analysis

Phenotypic correlations were calculated using SAS (version 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC) between all genes significantly expressed above background levels and exercise and body composition phenotypes previously measured in the G_4 population. In an attempt to determine if sex or parent-of-origin type were potentially underlying significant correlations with transcript levels, partial correlations were calculated adjusting for both factors (Kelly *et al.* 2010b, 2011). For all correlation analyses, adjustments for multiple comparisons were performed in SAS using the false-discovery-rate procedure controlling the overall type I error rate at 5% (Curran-Everett 2000).

eQTL analysis

eQTL were identified using the multiple imputation method (Sen and Churchill 2001) within R/qtl (Broman *et al.* 2003) for the R environment. Statistical models included effects of sex and parent of origin. Permutation (n = 1000) tests of 100 randomly selected transcripts yielded an average significance threshold of a log of the odds (LOD) > 3.8 (an approach similar to van Nas *et al.* 2010). This average threshold was calculated using R/qtl, which assumes an F₂ population structure and therefore independence among individuals. We acknowledge that our approach is only one of potentially many and that the threshold estimates may be imperfect in the context of available techniques. As new approaches that are more computationally efficient (*e.g.*, Zhang *et al.* 2012) and applicable to the current data set become available, thresholds computed here may be revisited.

Due to our more complex breeding history (G₄ as opposed to F_2), some adjustment of the LOD threshold is warranted (Valdar et al. 2009). The subpopulation utilized here (n = 248) was composed of 54 unique families with an average of four individuals representing each family (median = 4; minimum = 1; maximum = 11). For comparison, 57 unique families (average = 13 individuals per family; median = 13; minimum = 4; maximum = 28) were represented in the overall QTL mapping population (n = 815). Hence, we did not statistically account for family structure as in previous studies (see Kelly et al. 2010b, 2011). Rather, given the reduced population size, short number of intercrosses, and the relatively well-balanced mating design in our G₄, we chose the expedient approach of setting a higher LOD threshold; eQTL with LOD ratio scores >4.3 (*P*-value <0.00005) were deemed significant (previously chosen by Schadt et al. 2003). In addition, we chose to highlight only candidate genes with high relative LOD scores or extensive existing functional support (as in the case of arrestin domain containing 4, or Arrdc4). We computed the distance of the mapped location of significant eQTL to the midpoint of the physical location of the gene that each represented. If the distance was ≤ 10 Mb, eQTL were classified as *cis*-acting or local eQTL. If the distance was >10 Mb (including on another chromosome), eQTL were classified as trans-acting



or distant (following Doss *et al.* 2005). In addition, the percentage variation explained and the additive and dominance effects of each significant *cis*- and *trans*-acting eQTL were estimated in R/qtl (see Kelly *et al.* 2011).

Exclusion mapping analysis

For a subset of candidate genes uncovered by eQTL analysis, we examined gene regions to determine if the haplotypes of the parental strains (HR and B6) were identical by descent (IBD) utilizing SNPs from the Mouse Diversity array (Yang et al. 2009). We genotyped a subset of representative individuals from the F_0 parental strains (n = 12, HR; n = 1, B6) using the complete Mouse Diversity array containing 623,124 SNPs. We utilized these SNPs to determine (1) whether the interval is homozygous or heterozygous in each sample and (2) whether samples are IBD in each homozygous interval. As previously described in Farber *et al.* (2011), we determined the frequency of heterozygous calls in windows of 200 consecutive SNPs in each one of the 12 HR individuals independently. We then identified intervals that are IBD among all 12 HR founders and B6 using the same 200-SNP window and threshold (>97% genotype identity) approach used to identify the segregating regions (following Farber et al. 2011).

Results

Correlation analysis

Following Loess-Quantile normalization, 17,571 (of 45,281) transcripts were identified with a detection score (calculated across all 244 mice) \geq 0.95. Non-normalized and normalized transcript files are provided in File S3, File S4, and File S5. Pearson partial correlations were generated between these transcripts and a total of 36 exercise-related phenotypes and 17 traits related to body weight, body composi-

Figure 1 The number of statistically significant ($P \le 0.05$, adjusted for multiple comparisons) partial correlations, adjusted for sex and parent of origin, factors with known phenotypic effects (see Kelly *et al.* 2010a) between 17,571 significantly expressed transcripts, and exercise and body composition-related phenotypes. In total, 36 exercise-related phenotypes and 17 traits related to food consumption, body weight and composition as a result of 6 days of voluntary exercise on wheels were observed. Therefore, each of the phenotypes depicted above is composed of multiple traits (*n* depicted following each phenotype) that are each highly correlated with one another (see correlation analyses in Kelly *et al.* 2010b, 2011).

tion, and change in body weight and composition as a result of 6 days of exercise. Correlations were adjusted for factors with known phenotypic effects (sex and parent of origin). After adjustment for multiple testing, 348 (~0.04% of total possible) partial correlations were found to be statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$), indicating putative functional relevance (Figure 1). Relationships between exercise-related traits and transcript levels accounted for the largest proportion (61.8%) of observed significant correlations (Figure 1). Among the exercise traits, running duration represented the largest percentage of significant relationships with transcript levels (48.6%). Collectively, body weight and compositionrelated traits accounted for 37.3% of significant correlations (Figure 1). Changes in body weight and composition, as a result of 6 days of exercise, represented only 0.006% of significant correlations with transcript levels. Greatest-magnitude correlations between exercise/body composition traits and transcript levels are presented in Table S1.

Notably, adjusted partial correlations revealed that *Insig2* was significantly correlated with body mass *post* exercise (r = -0.33, P = 0.0166; top correlation, see Table S1), with running duration on day 1 (r = 0.30, P = 0.0362), with the trajectory of running duration across all 6 days (r = -0.34, P = 0.0084), and with the intercept of running duration (r = 0.32, P = 0.0084).

eQTL analysis

In total, 2441 *cis*-acting and 2164 *trans*-acting statistically significant eQTL were observed (Figure 2, A and B). *Cis*-acting eQTL were distributed genome-wide. The average LOD score for *cis*-acting eQTL was 18.7 (range = 4.3-108.2), while for *trans*-acting eQTL the mean LOD score was 15.0 with a range of 4.3-92.9. Among 100 randomly selected, statistically significant, *trans*-acting eQTL the average size of the 95% C.I. (defined by one LOD drop) was 15.9 Mb



Figure 2 (A) The number of local or *cis*-acting (black bars) and distant or *trans*-acting (gray bars) eQTL across all chromosomes with a LOD \geq 4.3. (B) Physical gene location as a function of mapped position of each QTL.

(median = 15.3 Mb) with an average of 30.5 (median = 24.0) transcripts physically residing with the C.I.'s. Among *cis*-acting eQTL, the median distance of the mapped location to the midpoint of the physical location of the gene was 1.94 Mb, and the distance was negatively correlated with the significance level (Figure 2C). For comparison, in early generations of a mouse recombinant inbred strain panel, the pre-Collaborative Cross, the median liver eQTL-gene distance was 0.92 Mb (Aylor et al. 2011). In addition, in an outbred mouse population (MF1 stock; Harlan, Indianapolis), the median distance of eQTL peak markers from the physical gene location was 0.67 Mb, and for 25% of the genes eQTL-gene distance was <300 kb (Ghazalpour et al. 2008). Trans-acting eQTL were identified on all chromosomes, with a potential master regulatory region observed on the distal end of Chr. 1 at ~170-180 Mb based; 332 trans-acting eQTL mapping to this region (Figure 2B).

Significant cis-acting eQTL individually explained, on average, 26.35% of the total phenotypic variation for mRNA abundance of the underlying transcript, with a median percentage variance explained of 19.63% and a range of 0.45-86.65%. Average additive effects for cis-acting eQTL were almost universally statistically significant (98.4% or 2404 of 2441), with increasing expression as a result of the HR allele comprising \sim 42% (n = 998) and increasing expression as a result of the B6 allele comprising \sim 58% (n = 1406) of the total. In addition, average dominance effects were generally small with little statistical significance. Furthermore, we observed significant dominance effects only in the absence of significant additive effects for three *cis*-acting eQTL: BC020354 (Chr. 20, gene location: ~147.42 Mb; eQTL location: 138.78 Mb); Slc22a17 (Chr. 14, gene location: ~55.53 Mb; eQTL location: 63.19 Mb); and Arrdc4 (Chr. 7, gene location: ~75.89 Mb; eQTL location: 76.26 Mb). Uniquely, Arrdc4 mapped under a previously detected QTL (82.6 Mb, C.I. = 75-86 Mb) for running duration on day 1 (of a 6-day exposure to running wheels), which also displayed significant dominance effects in the absence of significant additive effects (Kelly et al. 2010b).

Significant *trans*-acting eQTL individually explained, on average, 10.38% of the total phenotypic variation for mRNA abundance of the underlying transcript with a median percentage variance explained of 8.74% and a range of 0.01–86.90%. Average additive effects for *trans*-acting eQTL were generally large and frequently statistically significant (76.7% or 1659 of 2164), with increasing effects as a result of the HR allele comprising ~49.5% (n = 821) and increasing effects as a result of the B6 alleles comprising ~50.5%

The prominent diagonal band indicates *cis*-acting eQTL. A potential master regulatory region is observed on the distal end of chromosome 1, as indicated by a prominent vertical *trans*-band. Complete data file utilized to generate Figure 2B is provided in File S6. (C) *Cis*-acting eQTL were defined as falling within 10 Mb of the gene's physical location, with the most significant eQTL generally being the closest to the gene's midpoint.

(n = 838) of the total. In addition, average dominance effects were large and statistically significant for 673 eQTL. Among these *trans*-acting eQTL, dominance was frequently found in the absence of significant additive effects. *Trans*-eQTL composing the potential master regulatory region located on the distal end of Chr. 1 similarly displayed additive effects that were frequently significant. Significant dominance effects were also noted for 102 of 332 eQTL located in the potential master regulatory region.

Using the larger G_4 population of which a subset was analyzed in the present study, we previously identified 39 significant and 18 suggestive QTL representing various exercise traits (Kelly *et al.* 2010b). Here, we compared the locations of *cis*-acting eQTL within the C.I.'s (defined by 1 LOD drop) of QTL observed for subsets of the mean exercise traits (distance, duration, average speed, and maximum speed) on days 1 and 2 (Figure 3B) and on days 5 and 6 of the 6-day wheel-access period (Figure 3, A and C–F).

Comparisons between cis-acting eQTL and running distance QTL (mean on days 5 and 6) revealed 30 positional candidate genes on Chr. 7 (Figure 3A and Table S2), all with significant additive effects and little dominance. For running distance on day 1, 20 potential candidate genes were identified under 2 QTL on Chr. 1, with all having significant additive effects with little dominance. On day 2, 17 overlapping candidate genes were identified under one significant QTL (Figure 3B and Table S2). For mean running duration on days 5 and 6, we identified 40 candidate genes on Chr. 7, with 30 overlapping with running distance (Figure 3C and Table S2). The additional 10 candidate genes unique to running duration resulted from an expansion of the C.I.'s for running duration loci (91-129 Mb) as compared to the C.I. for the running distance QTL (99-124 Mb) and also displayed significant additive effects. In total, we observed 50 statistically significant cis-acting eQTL that mapped under the previously identified QTL for an average running speed on days 5 and 6 of the 6-day wheel exposure. Thirty candidate genes were located on Chr. 2 (Figure 3D and Table S2) and the remaining 20 candidate genes were observed on Chr. 14 (Figure 3E and Table S2). Of these, similar to those described above, eQTL generally had large and statistically significant additive effects with little dominance. For maximum running speed (on days 5 and 6), 33 candidate genes were identified on Chr. 2 with 30 overlapping with those identified for running speed (Figure 3D and Table S2). In addition, 53 candidate genes, with significant additive effects, were identified on Chr. 11 for maximum running speed (Figure 3F and Table S2).

In addition to comparisons between *cis*-acting eQTL and exercise QTL, we examined colocalizing *cis*-acting eQTL and loci previously identified for change in body weight and body composition in response to exercise. Comparisons between *cis*-acting eQTL and loci observed for percentage change in body mass, as a result of 6 days of exercise, revealed 18 candidate genes on Chr. 11 (Figure 4A and Table S2). For percentage change in percentage fat mass (as de-

scribed in Kelly *et al.* 2011) we identified 36 candidate genes on Chr. 1 and 40 on Chr. 5 (Figure 4, B and C; Table S2). In addition, we observed 27 candidate genes on Chr. 5 for percentage change in percentage lean mass, all of which overlapped with those identified for percentage change in percentage fat mass (Figure 4D and Table S2). In general, eQTL had large significant additive effects with little dominance.

Of the cis-acting eQTL identified above, Insig2 was among the most statistically significant (LOD = 100.0; physical location: ~124 Mb) and mapped near the peaks of the following previously identified trait QTL: body mass pre-exercise (peak: 116 Mb), body mass post exercise (~106 Mb), running distance on day 1 (\sim 113 Mb) and day 2 (\sim 134 Mb), intercept of running distance (\sim 123 Mb), running duration on day 1 (\sim 136 Mb), trajectory of running duration (\sim 123 Mb), and intercept of running duration (\sim 134 Mb). Insig2 was also mapped to \sim 83.0 Mb on Chr. 7 (trans-acting, LOD = 7.4). In addition, *IL15* (physical location: Chr. 8 at \sim 84.86 Mb) was mapped (83.9 Mb, LOD = 45.9) under previously identified loci for percentage fat mass and percentage lean mass (post exercise; see Kelly et al. 2011). Two additional cis-acting candidate genes of interest (discussed below) were prolylcarboxypeptidase, angiotensinase C (Prcp: physical location: Chr. 7 at ~100.08 Mb), which mapped to 93.0 Mb (LOD = 99.5); and Arrdc4 (physical location = Chr. 7 at \sim 75.89 Mb), which mapped to 76.3 Mb (LOD = 4.4). Both potential candidate genes mapped under previously identified loci for exercise related traits.

Exclusion mapping

In isolated cases, we characterized the pattern of haplotype diversity in the founders. The haplotype analysis was used to identify if the select candidate genes fell within a region of IBD, making them a lower priority, or in segregating regions, making them a higher priority. We performed a detailed investigation of the *cis*-acting eQTL for *Insig2*, *IL15*, *Prcp*, and *Arrdc4*. These loci were chosen because they were among the most statistically significant and/or because they directly mapped under the confidence intervals of a variety of previously identified phenotypic QTL related to exercise and/or body composition. On the basis of the characterization of the pattern of haplotype diversity in the founders, we concluded that *Insig2*, *IL15*, *Prcp*, and *Arrdc4* all fell within a segregating region of the genome as opposed to IBD regions.

Discussion

Only two genes have been identified, on the basis of rodent studies, as potentially strong candidates involved in the regulation of physical activity: dopamine receptor 1 (*Drd1*) and nescient helix loop helix 2 (*Nhlh2*) (Lightfoot 2011). Combining QTL mapping with large-scale gene expression analysis (Jansen and Nap 2001), or eQTL mapping, is becoming increasingly commonplace in a variety of organisms (*e.g.*, Druka *et al.* 2010; Liu 2011; Parts *et al.* 2011) and has



Figure 3 *Cis*-acting eQTL colocalizing with exercise QTL. Colocalizing candidate genes that fell within the confidence intervals of the trait QTL are depicted. The LOD score of the eQTL is shown on the left *y*-axis, the phenotype (exercise trait) LOD score on the right *y*-axis, and the position of both on the *x*-axis. Each transcript is labeled, and color is used only for the purpose of demarcation. Inset lists of transcripts are in the corresponding order of their vertical position. (A) Mean running distance (Chr. 7) on days 5 and 6 of a 6-day test. (B) Running distance (Chr. 1) on each of days 1(black line) and 2 (gray line). (C) Mean running duration (Chr. 7) on days 5 and 6 of a 6-day test. (D) Average (black line) and maximum (gray line) running speed (Chr. 2) on days 5 and 6 of a 6-day test. (E) Average running speed (Chr. 14) on days 5 and 6 of a 6-day test. (F) Maximum running speed (Chr. 11) on days 5 and 6 of a 6-day test.



Figure 4 *Cis*-acting eQTL, colocalizing with QTL underlying changes in body weight and composition in response to 6 days of voluntary wheel running (Kelly *et al.* 2010b). Colocalizing candidate genes that fell within the confidence intervals of the trait QTL are depicted. The LOD score of the eQTL is shown on the left *y*-axis, the phenotype (exercise trait) LOD score on the right *y*-axis, and the position of both on the *x*-axis. Each transcript is labeled, and color is used only for the purpose of demarcation. Inset lists of transcripts are in the corresponding order of their vertical position. (A) Percentage change in body mass (Chr. 11). (B) Percentage change in percentage fat mass (Chr. 1). (C) Percentage change in percentage fat mass (Chr. 5). (D) Percentage change in percentage lean mass (Chr. 5).

made the selection of candidate genes underlying predisposition loci more efficient. Here we used approaches similar to those described by Lightfoot (2011) to identify candidate genes potentially playing a role in the regulation of voluntary exercise (wheel running), body composition, and their interactions. For specific candidate genes, we discuss these analyses in the context of existing functional studies. To our knowledge, an approach that bridges the gap between the neurophysiology of, and genetic predisposition to, voluntary exercise and body composition-related traits has not been addressed.

Mode of gene action

Here, we examined the percentage of phenotypic variance explained and the average additive and dominance effects of all statistically significant *cis*- and *trans*-acting eQTL (n = 4605 in total). While the *cis*-acting eQTL, on average, explained a much higher percentage (more than twice the amount) of the total phenotypic variation, the range of var-

iance explained by cis- and trans-acting eQTL was very similar (0.45-86.65% vs. 0.01-86.90%, respectively). Some transacting eQTL explained a very high percentage of the total phenotypic variation, and this can in part be explained by how we chose to define trans-acting eQTL: as the eQTL located >10 Mb from the gene's physical midpoint. Therefore, per this definition, derived from statistical effects not biological ones (see Schadt et al. 2003), a trans-acting eQTL may be mapped to the same chromosome and even as close as 10.5 Mb from the physical midpoint of the gene. However, this was certainly not the rule, as the trans-acting eQTL that explained the highest percentage of phenotypic variance (86.90%) were not mapped to the same chromosome as the physical location of the gene. Although average additive effects were generally large across all eQTL, they were large and most pervasive for cis-acting eQTL (98.4% as opposed to 76.7% for trans-acting of the total). Conversely to what was observed for additive effects, dominance appeared to play a lesser role for the *cis*-acting eQTL, while, for the *trans*-acting eQTL, dominance effects were more frequently large and statistically significant.

Similar differences, as noted here, in mode of gene action have been previously observed in an F_1 hybrid diversity panel of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Zhang *et al.* 2011). Zhang *et al.* tested 21,803 expression traits (from the fifth or sixth true leaf) with 56,819 genome-wide SNPs and observed a tendency for locally associated SNPs to be additively inherited, while distantly related SNPs were mostly dominant. Zhang *et al.* (2011) also observed that additive effects were generally larger than dominant ones and more frequently fell into local regulatory regions.

Although the increasing effects resulting from each of the founder alleles (HR and B6) were nearly identical among the *trans*-acting eQTL, among the *cis*-acting eQTL increasing effects as a result of the B6 allele were more pervasive. The allelic bias among *cis*-acting eQTL may potentially reflect probe bias toward the strain-specific reference genome used to design microarray probes or directional detection of functional polymorphisms (see Verdugo *et al.* 2010). Alternatively, the differences in gene action between HR and B6 may be reflective of different historical selective forces, a chief difference being the artificial selection of the HR strain for increased voluntary activity.

Identification of potential candidate genes

Many genes were identified with multiple lines of evidence implicating them as candidates for exercise QTL; here we discuss selected examples only. While we discuss single candidate genes here, we acknowledge that the combined effects of multiple linked eQTL could potentially cause phenotypic QTL. One highly significant (LOD = 100.0) *cis*-acting eQTL located on Chr. 1 (\sim 123.2 Mb), *Insig2* (insulin-induced gene 2), colocalized with loci previously identified for exercise and body composition-related traits. *Insig2* has been implicated in the functional regulation of lipid and cholesterol metabolism, positively (Herbert *et al.* 2006; Lyon *et al.* 2007) and negatively (Dina *et al.* 2007) associated with human obesity, associated with cholesterol biosynthesis, and characterized as a strong candidate susceptibility gene for total plasma cholesterol levels.

A subnetwork of *Insig2* consisted of several additional genes (*BC014805*, *Socs2*, and *Mod1*) also implicated in obesity phenotypes (Cervino *et al.* 2005). In the present study, a *trans*-acting eQTL was mapped for *Socs2* (physically located on Chr. 10 at ~94.8 Mb) to Chr.1 at 170 Mb, a locus previously identified for percentage change in percentage adiposity in response to 6 days of wheel running.

Interleukin (IL)-15 α (cytokine-specific receptor) knockout mice have been shown to exhibit a leaner phenotype with lower fat composition, elevated home-cage activity and heat dissipation (light and dark phases), greater food intake (light phase only), and raised oxygen consumption (light phase only) (He *et al.* 2010). In the present study, an eQTL for *IL15* was mapped to Chr. 8 (*cis*-acting, LOD = 45.9) and Chr. 1 (*trans*-acting, LOD = 5.5), colocalizing with previously identified QTL for body composition and wheel-running traits, respectively. Furthermore, *IL15* expression level was significantly correlated with running duration, body mass, and percentage fat mass.

Prcp was found to be a highly significant (LOD = 99.5) *cis*acting eQTL mapped to 93.0 Mb on Chr. 7, a region contained within the confidence intervals of previously (see Kelly *et al.* 2010b) identified QTL for running distance and duration (see Figure 3C and Kelly *et al.* 2010b). Wallingford *et al.* (2009) observed that the inhibition of *Prcp* activity *in vivo* decreased food intake in wild-type and obese mice, and *Prcp*-null mice were leaner and shorter than wild-type controls and resistant to high-fat diet-induced obesity (Wallingford *et al.* 2009). Similar phenotypes have been observed in the HR strain of mice utilized here (Swallow *et al.* 1999; Kelly *et al.* 2006; Vaanholt *et al.* 2008).

A *cis*-acting eQTL for *Arrdc4* was mapped under a previously detected QTL for running duration on day 1, and notably both the QTL and eQTL displayed significant dominance effects in the absence of significant additive effects. *Arrdc4* has been shown to alter glucose metabolism (Patwari *et al.* 2009), and in cancer cells is induced under lactic acidosis and repressed with glucose deprivation (Chen *et al.* 2010). Notably, HR mice have previously demonstrated adaptive plasticity in GLUT-4 abundance (Gomes *et al.* 2009), possibly indicating that the relationship between running duration and glucose usage may be facilitated through *Arrdc4*.

A final candidate, *DBY* or Ddx3y [DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 3], is located on the Y-chromosome gene. We did not attempt to map eQTL for DBY (due to a lack of markers on the Y chromosome), but did identify several highly significant correlations between DBY expression levels and exercise and body composition traits (see Table S1). The significant correlations were large and were present only when relationships were not adjusted for sex or parent-of-origin type. Dby mRNA is retained in mouse spermatozoa and important for male zygotic development, possibly implicating a mechanistic role for spermatozoa mRNA during embryonic stages (Yao et al. 2010a,b). Previously, we demonstrated significant parent-of-origin effects on a variety of exercise and body composition traits, with these effects being most pronounced for males in some cases (Kelly et al. 2010a). Given the current results, we hypothesize that DBY, via the early environment of the zygote, may in part explain the large parent-of-origin effects.

Limitations to the current approach

Perhaps surprisingly, genes regulating dopamine signaling were not expressed above background in the present study. While other studies (*e.g.*, Bronikowski *et al.* 2004; Mathes *et al.* 2010) have targeted specific brain regions (*e.g.*, dorsal striatum and nucleus accumbens, hippocampus), we chose to use the entire right hemisphere of the brain, which may have caused significant signal dilution and, in effect, led us to miss additional important candidate genes. We acknowledge that an alternative approach would have been to target a specific brain

region previously implicated in the regulation of exercise behavior (see Introduction for examples). In our opinion, there is no one particular brain region sufficient to account for the diversity of behavioral and physiological traits measured in the G_4 population (*e.g.*, running distance, weight regulation, food consumption). Our compromise was to bisect the hemispheres, run our initial expression assays on one hemisphere, and reserve the remaining hemisphere for potential follow-up studies in a more focused/targeted fashion. This strategy, we believe, takes advantage of the fact that 186 of the top 500 genes expressed in the brain are expressed in all cell types (Lein *et al.* 2006).

In addition to not utilizing a localized brain region, we also recognize the possible limitations of the current QTL/ microarray approach of identifying candidate genes (potential limitations are discussed at length in Verdugo et al. 2010). While we acknowledge these potential pitfalls, they did not restrict our approach to the current eQTL analysis. Therefore, although we provide supporting evidence for the proposed potential candidate genes above, we acknowledge that our results are most strongly relevant to the current methods, and caution should be taken when generally extrapolating these findings. In addition to the support provided here, additional lines of evidence will be needed (described in Lightfoot 2011) to validate the functional role of these candidate genes, especially with regard to voluntary exercise behavior. Regardless, the proximate goal of the integrative genomics approach adopted here is efficient gene discovery relevant to predisposition to engage in voluntary activity and the resultant modification of body composition. The ultimate goal is to use these results to adopt betterinformed approaches to using physical activity as a preventative and therapeutic treatment for chronic disease.

Acknowledgments

We thank Z. Yun for assistance with animal care and data collection; Chris Wiesen, the University of North Carolina's Odum Institute for Research in Social Science statistical consultation; and Fernando Pardo-Manuel de Villena and Ryan J. Buus, Department of Genetics at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, for assistance with the IBD analysis. This work was supported by National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) grant DK-076050 to D. Pomp. S. A. Kelly was partially supported through a National Institute of Mental Health-funded (5T32MH075854-04) Interdisciplinary Obesity Training program. Phenotypes were collected using the Animal Metabolism Phenotyping core facility within the University of North Carolina's Clinical Nutrition Research Center (funded by NIDDK grant DK056350).

Literature Cited

Aylor, D. L., W. Valdar, W. Foulds-Mathes, R. J. Buus, R. A. Verdugo et al., 2011 Genetic analysis of complex traits in the emerging Collaborative Cross. Genome Res. 21: 1213–1222.

- Belke, T. W., 2006 Concurrent schedules of wheel-running reinforcement: choice between different durations of opportunity to run in rats. Learn. Behav. 34: 61–70.
- Belke, T. W., and T. Garland, Jr., 2007 A brief opportunity to run does not function as a reinforcer for mice selected for high daily wheel-running rates. J. Exp. Anal. Behav. 88: 199–213.
- Booth, F. W., S. E. Gordon, C. J. Carlson, and M. T. Hamilton, 2000 Waging war on modern chronic diseases: primary prevention through exercise biology. J. Appl. Physiol. 88: 774–787.
- Bray, M. S., J. M. Hagberg, L. Perusse, T. Rankinen, S. M. Roth *et al.*, 2009 The human gene map for performance and health-related fitness phenotypes: the 2006–2007 update. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 41: 35–73.
- Brené, S., A. Bjørnebekk, E. Åberg, A. A. Mathé, L. Olson *et al.*, 2007 Running is rewarding and antidepressive. Physiol. Behav. 92: 136–140.
- Broman, K. W., H. Wu, S. Sen, and G. A. Churchill, 2003 R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. Bioinformatics 19: 889–890.
- Bronikowski, A. M., J. S. Rhodes, T. Garland, Jr., T. A. Prolla, T. Awad *et al.*, 2004 The evolution of gene expression in the hippocampus in response to selective breeding for increased locomotor activity. Evolution 58: 2079–2086.
- Burns, B., K. Schmidt, S. R. Williams, S. Kim, S. Giriajan *et al.*, 2010 Rai1 haploinsufficiency causes reduced Bdnf expression resulting in hyperphagia, obesity and altered fat distribution in mice and humans with no evidence of metabolic syndrome. Hum. Mol. Genet. 19: 4026–4042.
- Cagniard, B., P. D. Balsam, D. Brunner, and X. Zhuang, 2006 Mice with chronically elevated dopamine exhibit enhanced motivation, but not learning, for a food reward. Neuropsychopharmacology 31: 1362–1370.
- Cai, G., S. A. Cole, N. Butte, C. Bacino, V. Diego *et al.*, 2006 A quantitative trait locus on chromosome 18q for physical activity and dietary intake in Hispanic children. Obesity (Silver Spring) 14: 1596–1604.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2003 Physical activity levels among children aged 9–13 years—United States, 2002. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 52: 785–788.
- Cervino, A. C., G. Li, S. Edwards, J. Zhu, C. Laurie *et al.*, 2005 Integrating QTL and high-density SNP analyses in mice to identify Insig2 as a susceptibility gene for plasma cholesterol levels. Genomics 86: 505–517.
- Chen, J. L., D. Merl, C. W. Peterson, J. Wu, P. Y. Liu *et al.*, 2010 Lactic acidosis triggers starvation response with paradoxical induction of TXNIP through MondoA. PLoS Genet. 6 (9): pii:e1001093.
- Curran-Éverett, D., 2000 Multiple comparisons: philosophies and illustrations. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 279: R1–R8.
- Davis, C., R. D. Levitan, A. S. Kaplan, J. Carter, C. Reid *et al.*, 2008 Reward sensitivity and the D2 dopamine receptor gene: a case-control study of binge eating disorder. Prog. Neuropsy-chopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 32: 620–628.
- Dina, C., D. Meyre, C. Samson, J. Tichet, M. Marre *et al.*, 2007 Comment on "A common genetic variant is associated with adult and childhood obesity". Science 315: 187.
- Dishman, R. K., 2008 Gene-physical activity interactions in the etiology of obesity: behavioral considerations. Obesity (Silver Spring) 16: S60–S65.
- Doss, S., E. E. Schadt, T. A. Drake, and A. J. Lusis, 2005 Cis-acting expression quantitative trait loci in mice. Genome Res. 15: 681–691.
- Druka, A., E. Potokina, Z. Luo, N. Jiang, X. Chen *et al.*, 2010 Expression quantitative trait loci analysis in plants. Plant Biotechnol. J. 8: 10–27.
- Farber, C. R., S. A. Kelly, E. Baruch, D. Yu, K. Hua *et al.*, 2011 Identification of quantitative trait loci influencing skeletal architecture in mice: emergence of Cdh11 as a primary

candidate gene regulating femoral morphology. J. Bone Miner. Res. 26: 2174–2183.

- Foley, T. E., B. N. Greenwood, H. E. Day, L. G. Koch, S. L. Britton *et al.*, 2006 Elevated central monoamine receptor mRNA in rats bred for high endurance capacity: implications for central fatigue. Behav. Brain Res. 174: 132–142.
- Friedenreich, C. M., and M. R. Orenstein, 2002 Physical activity and cancer prevention: etiologic evidence and biological mechanisms. J. Nutr. 132: 3456S–3464S.
- Garland, T. Jr., S. A. Kelly, J. L. Malisch, E. M. Kolb, R. M. Hannon et al., 2011a How to run far: multiple solutions and sex-specific responses to selective breeding for high voluntary activity levels. Proc. Biol. Sci. 278: 574–581.
- Garland, T. Jr. H. Schutz, M. A. Chappell, B. K. Keeney, T. H. Meek *et al.*, 2011b The biological control of voluntary exercise, spontaneous physical activity, and daily energy expenditure in relation to obesity: human and rodent perspectives. J. Exp. Biol. 214: 206–229.
- Ghazalpour, A., S. Doss, H. Kang, C. Farber, P. Z. Wen *et al.*, 2008 High-resolution mapping of gene expression using association in an outbred mouse stock. PLoS Genet. 4: e1000149.
- Gomes, F. R., E. L. Rezende, J. L. Malisch, S. K. Lee, D. A. Rivas et al., 2009 Glycogen storage and muscle glucose transporters (GLUT-4) of mice selectively bred for high voluntary wheel running. J. Exp. Biol. 212: 238–248.
- Gordon, R. R., M. La Merrill, K. W. Hunter, P. Sørensen, D. W. Threadgill *et al.*, 2010 Dietary fat-dependent transcriptional architecture and copy number alterations associated with modifiers of mammary cancer metastasis. Clin. Exp. Metastasis 27: 279–293.
- He, Y., X. Wu, R. S. Khan, A. J. Kastin, G. G. Cornelissen-Guillaume et al., 2010 IL-15 receptor deletion results in circadian changes of locomotor and metabolic activity. J. Mol. Neurosci. 41: 315–321.
- Herbert, A., N. P. Gerry, M. B. McQueen, I. M. Heid, A. Pfeufer *et al.*, 2006 A common genetic variant is associated with adult and childhood obesity. Science 312: 279–283.
- Jansen, R. C., and J. P. Nap, 2001 Genetical genomics: the added value from segregation. Trends Genet. 17: 388–391.
- Keeney, B. K., D. A. Raichlen, T. H. Meek, R. S. Wijeratne, K. M. Middleton *et al.*, 2008 Differential response to a selective cannabinoid receptor antagonist (SR141716: rimonabant) in female mice from lines selectively bred for high voluntary wheel-running behaviour. Behav. Pharmacol. 19: 812–820.
- Kelly, S. A., P. P. Czech, J. T. Wight, K. M. Blank, and T. Garland Jr.. 2006 Experimental evolution and phenotypic plasticity of hindlimb bones in high-activity house mice. J. Morphol. 267: 360– 374.
- Kelly, S. A., D. L. Nehrenberg, K. Hua, R. R. Gordon, T. Garland Jr. et al. 2010a Parent-of-origin effects on voluntary exercise levels and body composition in mice. Physiol. Genomics 40: 111–120.
- Kelly, S. A., D. L. Nehrenberg, J. L. Peirce, K. Hua, B. M. Steffy et al., 2010b Genetic architecture of voluntary exercise in an advanced intercross line of mice. Physiol. Genomics 42: 190–200.
- Kelly, S. A., D. L. Nehrenberg, K. Hua, and T. Garland, Jr., and D. Pomp, 2011 Exercise, weight loss, and changes in body composition in mice: phenotypic relationships and genetic architecture. Physiol. Genomics 43: 199–212.
- Knab, A. M., and J. T. Lightfoot, 2010 Does the difference between physically active and couch potato lie in the dopamine system? Int. J. Biol. Sci. 6: 133–150.
- Kotz, C. M., J. A. Teske, and C. J. Billington, 2008 Neuroregulation of nonexercise activity thermogenesis and obesity resistance. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 294: R699–R710.
- Kumar, K. G., L. M. DiCarlo, J. Volaufova, A. R. Zuberi, and B. K. Richards, 2010 Increased physical activity cosegregates with higher intake of carbohydrate and total calories in a subcongenic mouse strain. Mamm. Genome 12: 52–63.

- Lein, E. S., M. J. Hawrylycz, N. Ao, M. Ayres, A. Bensinger *et al.*, 2006 Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. Nature 445: 168–176.
- Lenard, N. R., and H. R. Berthoud, 2008 Central and peripheral regulation of food intake and physical activity: pathways and genes. Obesity (Silver Spring) 16(Suppl 3): S11–S22.
- Lightfoot, J. T., 2011 Current understanding of the genetic basis for physical activity. J. Nutr. 141: 526–530.
- Lightfoot, J. T., M. J. Turner, M. Daves, A. Vordermark, and S. R. Kleeberger, 2004 Genetic influence on daily wheel running activity level. Physiol. Genomics 19: 270–276.
- Liu, C., 2011 Brain expression quantitative locus mapping informs genetic studies of psychiatric diseases. Neurosci. Bull. 27: 123–133.
- Lyon, H. N., V. Emilsson, A. Hinney, I. M. Heid, J. Lasky-Su *et al.*, 2007 The association of a SNP upstream of INSIG2 with body mass index is reproduced in several but not all cohorts. PLoS Genet. 3: e61.
- MacLaren, V. V., and L. A. Best, 2010 Multiple addictive behaviors in young adults: student norms for the Shorter PROMIS Questionnaire. Addict. Behav. 35: 252–255.
- Mathes, W. F., D. L. Nehrenberg, R. Gordon, K. Hua, T. Garland Jr. *et al.* 2010 Dopaminergic dysregulation in mice selectively bred for excessive exercise or obesity. Behav. Brain Res. 210: 155–163.
- Meek, T. H., B. P. Lonquich, R. M. Hannon, and T. Garland Jr. 2009 Endurance capacity of mice selectively bred for high voluntary wheel running. J. Exp. Biol. 212: 2908–2917.
- O'Leary, J., and L. R. Osborne, 2011 Global analysis of gene expression in the developing brain of Gtf2ird1 knockout mice. PLoS ONE 6: e23868.
- Parts, L., O. Stegle, J. Winn, and R. Durbin, 2011 Joint genetic analysis of gene expression data with inferred cellular phenotypes. PLoS Genet. 7: e1001276.
- Patwari, P., W. A. Chutkow, K. Cummings, V. L. Verstraeten, J. Lammerding *et al.*, 2009 Thioredoxin-independent regulation of metabolism by the alpha-arrestin proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 284: 24996–25003.
- Pomp, D., 2005 Genomic dissection of complex trait predisposition, pp. 237–262 in *The Mouse in Animal Genetics and Breeding Research*, edited by E. J. Eisen. Imperial College Press, London.
- R Development Core Team, 2008 *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna (http://www.R-project.org).
- Rhodes, J. S., G. R. Hosack, I. Girard, A. E. Kelley, G. S. Mitchell et al., 2001 Differential sensitivity to acute administration of cocaine, GBR 12909, and fluoxetine in mice selectively bred for hyperactive wheel-running behavior. Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 158: 120–131.
- Schadt, E. E., S. A. Monks, T. A. Drake, A. J. Lusis, N. Che *et al.*, 2003 Genetics of gene expression surveyed in maize, mouse and man. Nature 422: 297–302.
- Sen, S., and G. A. Churchill, 2001 A statistical framework for quantitative trait mapping. Genetics 159: 371–387.
- Sherwin, C. M., 1998 Voluntary wheel running: a review and novel interpretation. Anim. Behav. 56: 11–27.
- Sherwin, C. M., and C. J. Nicol, 1996 Reorganization of behaviour in laboratory mice, Mus musculus, with varying cost of access to resources. Anim. Behav. 51: 1087–1093.
- Swallow, J. G., P. A. Carter, and T. Garland, Jr., 1998 Artificial selection for increased wheel-running behavior in house mice. Behav. Genet. 28: 227–237.
- Swallow, J. G., P. Koteja, P. A. Carter, and T. Garland Jr. 1999 Artificial selection for increased wheel-running activity in house mice results in decreased body mass at maturity. J. Exp. Biol. 202: 2513–2520.
- Swallow, J. G., J. P. Hayes, P. Koteja, and T. Garland, Jr., 2009 Selection experiments and experimental evolution of

performance and physiology, pp. 301–333 in *Experimental Evolution: Concepts, Methods, and Applications of Selection Experiments*, edited by T. Garland, Jr., and M. R. Rose. University of California Press, Berkeley.

- Vaanholt, L. M., I. Jonas, M. Doornbos, K. A. Schubert, C. Nyakas *et al.*, 2008 Responses in energy balance to high-fat feeding in mice selectively bred for high wheel-running activity. Int. J. Obes. 32: 1566–1575.
- Valdar, W., C. C. Holmes, R. Mott, and J. Flint, 2009 Mapping in structured populations by resample model averaging. Genetics 1182: 1263–1277.
- van Nas, A., L. Ingram-Drake, J. S. Sinsheimer, S. S. Wang, E. E. Schadt *et al.*, 2010 Expression quantitative trait loci: replication tissue- and sex-specificity in mice. Genetics 185: 1059– 1068.
- Verdugo, R. A., C. R. Farber, C. H. Warden, and J. F. Medrano, 2010 Serious limitations of the QTL/Microarray approach for QTL gene discovery. BMC Biol. 8: 96.
- Wallingford, N., B. Perroud, Q. Gao, A. Coppola, E. Gyengesi et al., 2009 Prolycarboxpeptidase regulates food intake by inactivating alpha-MSH in rodents. J. Clin. Invest. 119: 2291–2303.

- Waters, R. P., K. J. Renner, R. B. Pringle, C. H. Summers, S. L. Britton *et al.*, 2008 Selection for aerobic capacity affects corticosterone, monoamines and wheel-running activity. Physiol. Behav. 93: 1044–1054.
- Yang, H., Y. Ding, L. N. Hutchins, J. Szatkiewicz, T. A. Bell *et al.*, 2009 A customized and versatile high-density genotyping array for the mouse. Nat. Methods 6: 663–666.
- Yao, C., Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, W. Xu, Q. Li *et al.*, 2010a A study of Y chromosome gene mRNA in human ejaculated spermatozoa. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 77: 158–166.
- Yao, C. J., W. J. Xu, X. L. Gong, Y. Zhou, Z. Q. Yan *et al.*, 2010b The role of Dby mRNA in early development of male mouse zygotes. Asian J. Androl. 12: 567–577.
- Zhang, X., A. J. Cal, and J. O. Borevitz, 2011 Genetic architecture of regulatory variation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genome Res. 21: 725–733.
- Zhang, X., S. Huang, W. Sun, and W. Wang, 2012 Rapid and robust resampling-based multiple testing correction with application in genome-wide eQTL study. Genetics 191 (in press).

Communicating editor: S. F. Chenoweth

GENETICS

Supporting Information http://www.genetics.org/content/suppl/2012/03/30/genetics.112.140509.DC1

Functional Genomic Architecture of Predisposition to Voluntary Exercise in Mice: Expression QTL in the Brain

Scott A. Kelly, Derrick L. Nehrenberg, Kunjie Hua, Theodore Garland, Jr., and Daniel Pomp

Files S1-S5

Supporting Data

Files S1-S5 are available for download at http://www.genetics.org/content/suppl/2012/03/30/ genetics.112.140509.DC1.

File S1 - Complete genotypes.

File S2 - Following Gordon *et al.* (2010), transcript expression profiles were normalized using Loess-Quantile normalization methods with R v 2.8.1 statistical software (R Development Core Team; www.r-project.com, lumi package).

Files S3-S5 - Non-normalized and normalized transcript files.

able S1 Top correlations (partia	al, adjusted for sex and parent of or	igin) between exercise / body	composition traits and transcript levels

	Gene	Exercise /		Partial <i>r</i>						
ProbeID	Symbol	Body Composition Trait	n							
Running distance										
ILMN_2961216	Slco2a1	Day 1	234	0.3138*						
ILMN_2729958	Hist1h3d									
ILMN_2768972	Fam107a	Day 2	234	0.3090*						
ILMN_2899599	DBY									
ILMN_1227564	A930003013	Day 3	240	0.3509*						
ILMN_2899599	DBY									
ILMN_2763294	Ensa	Day 4	217	-0.3374*						
ILMN_2951691	Hist1h3h									
ILMN_2768972	Fam107a	Day 5	244	0.3223*						
ILMN_2729958	Hist1h3d									
ILMN_1247361	Abhd12	Day 6	244	0.3634*						
ILMN_2729958	Hist1h3d									
ILMN_2768972	Fam107a	(Days 5 + 6)/2	244	0.3554*						
ILMN_2729958	Hist1h3d									
ILMN_1222194	Tln2	Slope (days 1-6)	207	-0.2900						
ILMN_1222194	Tln2									
ILMN_2990229	Snrnp25	Intercept (days 1-6)	207	-0.2889						
ILMN_2899599	DBY									
		Running time								
ILMN_1225769	Clasp1	Day 1	234	-0.3331*						
ILMN_2899599	DBY									
ILMN_2768972	Fam107a	Day 2	234	0.3274*						
ILMN_2899599	DBY									
ILMN_2742840	Gpr34	Day 3	240	-0.3847*						
ILMN_2899599	DBY									
ILMN_2951691	Hist1h3h	Day 4	217	0.4074*						
ILMN_2951691	Hist1h3h									
ILMN_2951691	Hist1h3h	Day 5	244	0.3771*						
ILMN_2951691	Hist1h3h									
ILMN_2951691	Hist1h3h	Day 6	244	0.3330*						
ILMN_2951691	Hist1h3h									
ILMN_2951691	Hist1h3h	(<i>Days</i> 5 + 6)/2	244	0.4381*						
ILMN_1234453	Hist1h3h									
ILMN_2628567	PhIda3	Slope (days 1-6)	207	-0.3853*						
ILMN_2628567	Phlda3									
ILMN_2628567	PhIda3	Intercept (days 1-6)	207	0.3558*						
ILMN_2899599	DBY									

	Average running speed								
ILMN_2961216	Slco2a1	Day 1	234	0.3116*					
ILMN_2509327	Wipf3								
ILMN_2847787	Emr1	Day 2	234	-0.3189*					
ILMN_2768972	Fam107a								
ILMN_2619107	Lgals1	Day 3	240	-0.3125*					
ILMN_1228867	TCR-alpha								
ILMN_2619107	Lgals1	Day 4	217	-0.3062*					
ILMN_2619107	Lgals1								
ILMN_1222543	Ugt1a6a	Day 5	244	0.3132*					
ILMN_1222543	Ugt1a6a								
ILMN_1222543	Ugt1a6a	Day 6	244	0.3235*					
ILMN_1222543	Ugt1a6a								
ILMN_1222543	Ugt1a6a	(<i>Days</i> 5 + 6)/2	244	0.3252*					
ILMN_1222543	Ugt1a6a								
ILMN_2685150	Tmub2	Slope (<i>days</i> 1-6)	207	-0.2905					
ILMN_2685150	Tmub2								
ILMN_2847787	Emr1	Intercept (days 1-6)	207	-0.3069					
ILMN_2847787	Emr1								
Maximum running speed									
ILMN_1240553	Htra2	Day 1	234	0.2635					
ILMN_1257365	Thra								
ILMN_3135781	Anxa3	Day 2	234	-0.2792					
ILMN_1250057	D10Ertd610								
ILMN_1250057	D10Ertd610	Day 3	240	0.2726					
ILMN_1250057	D10Ertd610								
ILMN_1250057	D10Ertd610	Day 4	217	0.2618					
ILMN_2765513	Kif3a								
ILMN_1252338	Cyp2d22	Day 5	244	0.2730					
ILMN_2675289	Amn								
ILMN_1252338	Cyp2d22	Day 6	244	0.2589					
ILMN_2719794	BC003331								
ILMN_1252338	Cyp2d22	(<i>Days</i> 5 + 6)/2	244	0.2717					
ILMN_2675289	Amn								
ILMN_2685150	Tmub2	Slope (<i>days</i> 1-6)	207	-0.2607					
ILMN_2482178	Ociad2								
ILMN_2624854	Gstm2	Intercept (days 1-6)	207	-0.2990*					
ILMN_1224331	A830006F12								
ILMN_2678336	Ctf1	Food intake	243	-0.2796					
ILMN_1244316	Hbb-b1								
ILMN_1229203	Hbb-b1	Food intake / g	242	0.3043*					
ILMN 2899599	DBY								

~8 wk of age								
ILMN_1233149	AK038694	Body mass, g	243	0.3546*				
ILMN_2899599	DBY							
ILMN_2984110	Plvap	% Fat	243	0.3198*				
ILMN_1244316	Hbb-b1							
ILMN_2692723	Lpl	% Lean	243	-0.2823				
ILMN_2981689	Nup133							
		Post exercise						
ILMN_2690256	Insig2	Body mass, g	243	-0.3348*				
ILMN_2899599	DBY							
ILMN_2825109	Zfp330	% Fat	243	-0.3422*				
ILMN_2825109	Zfp330							
ILMN_2825109	Zfp330	% Lean	243	0.3431*				
ILMN_2638354	Prdx2							
ILMN_1229203	Hbb-b1	% Change in body mass	243	0.2522				
ILMN_2937548	Hist1h4m							
ILMN_2880536	Uck2	% Change in % fat	243	-0.3149*				
ILMN_2745370	Sult1a1							
ILMN_2622089	5430432N15	% Change in % lean	243	0.2695				
ILMN_2622089	5430432N15							

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.001

Table S2 Candidate genes based on proximity to QTL for exercise and change in body weight/composition in

response to exercise. For partial (adjusted for sex and parent of origin) correlations, * indicates significance at P ≤

0.05	after	correction	for	multiple	com	parisons
------	-------	------------	-----	----------	-----	----------

ProbeID	Gene Symbol	Ch r	LOD	eQTL peak (Mb)	eQTL to Gene (Mb)	eQTL to QTL (Mb)	Partial <i>r</i>
			Runnin	g Distance, (Days	5+6)/2		
ILMN_121555 0	Inppl1	7	11.1	108.92	0.05	0.02	0.09
ILMN_122094 8	Trrp2	7	4.5	108.92	0.31	0.02	-0.11
ILMN_121581 8	4933439J20Rik	7	14.7	108.92	0.31	0.02	-0.04
ILMN_123534 7	Frag1	7	29.5	108.92	0.47	0.02	0.02
ILMN_254046 4	LOC381904	7	14.9	108.92	1.09	0.02	0.03
ILMN_124280 2	Rab6	7	16.9	108.92	1.14	0.02	-0.04
ILMN_123613 1	Mrpl48	7	11.5	108.92	1.22	0.02	-0.10
ILMN_281715 1	Chchd8	7	22.9	108.92	1.23	0.02	0.18
ILMN_272099 5	Pold3	7	23.5	108.92	1.69	0.02	-0.02
ILMN_276056 8	2900057K09Rik	7	42.9	108.92	1.85	0.02	0.00
ILMN_277735 9	Serpinh1	7	16.8	108.92	2.42	0.02	-0.01
ILMN_282472 3	Fxc1	7	27.7	108.92	3.87	0.02	0.03
ILMN_264908 3	Clns1a	7	18.2	108.92	4.07	0.02	0.09
ILMN_291488 4	Tmem9b	7	5.3	108.92	7.96	0.02	0.06
ILMN_287540 4	Nrip3	7	8.0	108.92	7.98	0.02	0.05
ILMN_123297 1	6530415H11Ri k	7	24.2	112.05	0.47	3.15	0.01
ILMN_295694 2	Prkcdbp	7	27.5	112.05	0.58	3.15	-0.06
ILMN_286898 7	Smpd1	7	15.9	112.05	0.65	3.15	0.01
ILMN_296484 1	Ppfibp2	7	7.8	114.94	0.05	6.04	0.08
ILMN_121925 3	Olfml1	7	13.2	114.94	0.20	6.04	-0.12
ILMN_121653 4	E130307J04Rik	7	25.8	114.94	1.25	6.04	-0.04
ILMN_121634 1	3110041P15Rik	7	5.1	114.94	2.04	6.04	-0.09
ILMN_270599 1	Cln2	7	6.0	114.94	2.04	6.04	-0.02
ILMN_262359 1	Apbb1	7	32.0	114.94	2.23	6.04	-0.03

	ILMN_122189 2	C130064E22Rik	7	4.6	114.94	2.24	6.04	-0.06				
	ILMN_274628	Mrvi1	7	8.0	114.94	3.07	6.04	0.09				
	ILMN_121631 3	Stim1	7	8.6	114.94	5.52	6.04	-0.02				
	ILMN_261970 7	Slco2b1	7	6.5	114.94	8.13	6.04	-0.14				
	ILMN_291356 3	Crym	7	15.1	122.38	4.95	13.48	-0.03				
	ILMN_275872 8	Lcmt1	7	68.7	122.38	8.14	13.48	0.09				
	Running Distance, Day 1											
_	ILMN_125077 9	5730543M03Ri k	1	16.6	3.46	1.31	0.04	-0.07				
	ILMN_125274 3	Mrpl15	1	11.5	3.46	1.32	0.04	0.12				
	ILMN_267506 4	Adhfe1	1	6.6	9.99	0.45	6.49	-0.03				
	ILMN_122576 9	5930424F13Rik	1	29.4	115.56	4.84	2.86	-0.26				
	ILMN_124699 9	Ddx18	1	6.5	115.56	7.89	2.86	0.08				
	ILMN_123504 7	3110009E18Rik	1	35.9	122.52	0.44	9.82	-0.23				
	ILMN_315015 9	Dbi	1	5.8	122.52	0.51	9.82	0.11				
	ILMN_269025 6	Insig2	1	100. 0	122.52	0.68	9.82	0.23				
	ILMN_263045 9	Cxcr4	1	9.4	122.52	7.96	9.82	-0.04				
	ILMN_124429 2	Sox13	1	5.3	134.31	0.97	21.61	0.03				
	ILMN_262519 7	Ptprv	1	18.2	134.31	2.70	21.61	0.01				
	ILMN_268078 1	Arl10b	1	8.0	134.31	2.74	21.61	0.11				
	ILMN_277540 2	9330132O05Ri k	1	19.4	134.31	2.96	21.61	-0.17				
	ILMN_122880 4	A430106G13Ri k	1	5.4	134.31	5.56	21.61	-0.13				
	ILMN_277772 2	Jarid1b	1	70.2	136.28	0.25	23.58	0.19				
	ILMN_124602 4	Rnpep	1	14.9	136.28	0.88	23.58	0.16				
	ILMN_288301 6	Nav1	1	32.8	136.28	1.06	23.58	0.13				
	ILMN_126037 8	Csrp1	1	50.5	136.28	1.37	23.58	0.13				
	ILMN_262856 7	Phlda3	1	70.1	136.28	1.39	23.58	0.22				
_	ILMN_263957 9	4732493F09Rik	1	6.0	136.28	6.44	23.58	-0.02				
				Run	ning Distance, D	ay 2						
_	ILMN_122576 9	5930424F13Rik	1	29.4	115.56	115.56	18.74	-0.22				
	ILMN_124699	Ddx18	1	6.5	115.56	115.56	18.74	0.12				

9							
ILMN_123504							
7 ILMN_315015	3110009E18Rik	1	35.9	122.52	122.52	11.78	-0.17
9	Dbi	1	5.8	122.52	122.52	11.78	0.17
6	Insig2	1	100. 0	122.52	122.52	11.78	0.23
ILMN_263045 9	Cxcr4	1	9.4	122.52	122.52	11.78	-0.01
ILMN_124429 2	Sox13	1	5.3	134.31	134.31	0.01	0.02
ILMN_262519 7	Ptprv	1	18.2	134.31	134.31	0.01	-0.13
ILMN_268078 1	Arl10b	1	8.0	13/ 31	13/1 31	0.01	0 13
ILMN_277540	9330132005Ri	-	0.0	134.31	134.31	0.01	0.15
2 II MINI 122880	k A4301066138i	1	19.4	134.31	134.31	0.01	-0.21
4	k	1	5.4	134.31	134.31	0.01	-0.21
ILMN_277772 2	larid1b	1	70.2	126.28	126.28	1 08	0.22
ILMN_124602	Januib	T	70.2	130.28	130.28	1.90	0.22
4	Rnpep	1	14.9	136.28	136.28	1.98	0.12
6	Nav1	1	32.8	136.28	136.28	1.98	0.13
ILMN_126037	Corpl	1	EO E	126.29	126.29	1 00	0.10
8 ILMN_262856	CSTP1	T	50.5	130.28	130.28	1.98	0.19
7 II MN 263957	Phlda3	1	70.1	136.28	136.28	1.98	0.19
9	4732493F09Rik	1	6.0	136.28	136.28	1.98	-0.03
			Running D	Duration, (Days 5+6,)/2		
ILMN_316222 4	0610007P06Rik	7	5.6	93.00	4.07	15.90	0.20
ILMN_125517 5	AW538196	7	4.8	93.00	5.53	15.90	0.10
ILMN_263915 5	2510048K03Rik	7	99.5	93.00	7.08	15.90	-0.09
ILMN_121555 0	Inppl1	7	11.1	108.92	0.05	0.02	0.16
ILMN_122094 8	Trrp2	7	4.5	108.92	0.31	0.02	-0.08
ILMN_121581 8	4933439J20Rik	7	14.7	108.92	0.31	0.02	-0.15
ILMN_123534 7	Frag1	7	29.5	108.92	0.47	0.02	-0.09
ILMN_254046 4	LOC381904	7	14.9	108.92	1.09	0.02	0.11
ILMN_124280 2	Rab6	7	16.9	108.92	1.14	0.02	-0.13
ILMN_123613 1	Mrpl48	7	11.5	108.92	1.22	0.02	-0.14
ILMN_281715 1	Chchd8	7	22.9	108.92	1.23	0.02	0.31*
ILMN_272099 5	Pold3	7	23.5	108.92	1.69	0.02	-0.10
II MN 276056	2900057K09Rik	7	42.9	108.92	1.85	0.02	-0.13

8							
ILMN_277735 9	Serpinh1	7	16.8	108.92	2.42	0.02	0.04
ILMN_282472 3	Fxc1	7	27.7	108.92	3.87	0.02	-0.04
ILMN_264908	Clns1a	7	18.2	108.92	4.07	0.02	0.11
ILMN_291488 4	Tmem9b	7	5.3	108.92	7.96	0.02	0.12
ILMN_287540 4	Nrip3	7	8.0	108.92	7.98	0.02	0.09
ILMN_123297 1	6530415H11Ri k	7	24.2	112.05	0.47	3.15	-0.04
ILMN_295694 2	Prkcdbp	7	27.5	112.05	0.58	3.15	-0.07
ILMN_286898 7	Smpd1	7	15.9	112.05	0.65	3.15	-0.03
ILMN_296484 1	Ppfibp2	7	7.8	114.94	0.05	6.04	0.05
ILMN_121925 3	Olfml1	7	13.2	114.94	0.20	6.04	-0.09
ILMN_121653 4	E130307J04Rik	7	25.8	114.94	1.25	6.04	-0.09
ILMN_121634 1	3110041P15Rik	7	5.1	114.94	2.04	6.04	-0.02
ILMN_270599 1	Cln2	7	6.0	114.94	2.04	6.04	-0.06
ILMN_262359 1	Apbb1	7	32.0	114.94	2.23	6.04	-0.10
ILMN_122189 2	C130064E22Rik	7	4.6	114.94	2.24	6.04	-0.10
ILMN_274628 3	Mrvi1	7	8.0	114.94	3.07	6.04	0.20
ILMN_121631 3	Stim1	7	8.6	114.94	5.52	6.04	0.00
ILMN_261970 7	Slco2b1	7	6.5	114.94	8.13	6.04	-0.15
ILMN_291356 3	Crym	7	15.1	122.38	4.95	13.48	-0.18
ILMN_275872 8	Lcmt1	7	68.7	122.38	8.14	13.48	0.21
ILMN_122945 8	Prkcb	7	7.5	125.73	4.04	16.83	-0.06
ILMN_124367 8	Gtf3c1	7	30.0	125.73	7.05	16.83	-0.19
ILMN_246290 1	G630023A01Ri k	7	8.1	125.73	7.29	16.83	-0.16
ILMN_273239 4	Giyd2	7	17.4	125.73	8.10	16.83	0.09
ILMN_121364 5	AI467606	7	9.9	125.73	8.50	16.83	0.18
ILMN_274204 2	Nupr1	7	12.4	128.31	5.45	19.41	-0.09
ILMN_262958 1	Cox6a2	7	7.2	128.31	7.04	19.41	0.00
		A	Average R	unning Speed, (D	ays 5+6)/2		
ILMN_121290	D430039N05Ri	2	62.1	82.78	0.95	16.22	0.12

	1						
7	К						
ILMN_308893 4	2700094K13Rik	2	4.7	82.78	1.73	16.22	0.09
ILMN_296655 8	Ypel4	2	59.6	82.78	1.80	16.22	0.14
ILMN_125447 3	LOC241525	2	67.6	82.78	1.80	16.22	0.09
ILMN_277542 1	Serping1	2	8.2	82.78	1.83	16.22	0.05
ILMN_289529 3	BC003993	2	96.6	82.78	5.04	16.22	-0.07
ILMN_275671 6	Nup160	2	52.5	82.78	7.80	16.22	0.04
ILMN_284858 3	Mtch2	2	17.3	82.78	7.93	16.22	0.05
ILMN_279176 9	Ddb2	2	7.9	82.78	8.28	16.22	-0.03
ILMN_124148 1	Zfp289	2	86.6	82.78	8.34	16.22	0.12
ILMN_260240 6	2810004N20Ri k	2	13.7	89.55	1.20	9.45	0.08
ILMN_122914 0	D330036A12Ri k	2	76.7	89.55	1.21	9.45	-0.09
ILMN_268913 6	Mybpc3	2	13.0	89.55	1.42	9.45	-0.07
ILMN_276059 3	D030051N19Ri k	2	30.8	89.55	2.21	9.45	0.05
ILMN_122723 5	Timm13a	2	13.0	89.55	4.88	9.45	0.06
ILMN_122066 4	Nckap1	2	8.8	89.55	9.21	9.45	0.09
ILMN_258901 5	Pacsin3	2	14.6	91.83	0.73	7.17	-0.05
ILMN_274719 6	Kai1	2	9.0	91.83	1.43	7.17	-0.12
ILMN_296075 0	Traf6	2	6.8	99.04	2.50	0.04	0.03
ILMN_251176 8	Ttc17	2	6.3	99.04	4.90	0.04	0.00
ILMN_262930 7	4732486123Rik	2	17.4	99.04	5.55	0.04	0.12
ILMN_122937 9	Syt13	2	10.3	99.04	6.24	0.04	-0.06
ILMN_122369 7	Cd44	2	4.4	102.76	0.10	3.76	0.01
ILMN_273741 6	Fjx1	2	43.1	102.76	0.47	3.76	-0.04
ILMN_282611 0	Cat	2	42.9	102.76	0.54	3.76	-0.14
ILMN_313152 2	Fbxo3	2	10.6	102.76	1.14	3.76	0.06
ILMN_266076 6	Cd59b	2	19.2	102.76	1.16	3.76	0.07
ILMN_123442 4	G2-pending	2	18.4	102.76	1.23	3.76	0.15
ILMN_314541 5	Cstf3	2	4.8	102.76	1.69	3.76	0.15

ILMN_125413 3	B930083D07Ri k	2	35.3	102.76	1.77	3.76	0.07
ILMN_264733 1	Nfatc4	14	5.8	66.14	9.69	13.76	0.13
ILMN_258931 2	Entpd4	14	39.1	69.46	0.53	10.44	-0.13
ILMN_299499 5	Lgi3	14	31.6	69.46	1.48	10.44	0.20
ILMN_279352 2	Tnfrsf19	14	9.1	69.46	7.88	10.44	0.02
ILMN_268012 8	3110050K21Rik	14	6.2	76.86	1.14	3.04	-0.10
ILMN_273393 3	Narg1l	14	53.3	76.86	2.87	3.04	-0.18
ILMN_286164 4	Rb1	14	18.7	76.86	3.27	3.04	0.10
ILMN_246276 2	Wbp4	14	4.7	79.90	0.04	0.00	-0.14
LMN_251378	A130038J17Rik	14	51.4	79.90	0.20	0.00	-0.19
ILMN_259377 4	1190002H23Ri k	14	33.4	79.90	0.21	0.00	0.11
ILMN_124948 0	1300010F03Rik	14	61.0	79.90	0.30	0.00	-0.21
ILMN_245921 1	Dgkh	14	62.4	79.90	0.90	0.00	-0.20
ILMN_260643	Diap3	14	17.0	79.90	7.16	0.00	-0.15
ILMN_272310 8	Tgfb1i4	14	5.1	82.64	5.74	2.74	0.01
ILMN_124850 8	LOC382930	14	5.3	82.64	10.78	2.74	0.00
ILMN_265068 3	Tdrd3	14	15.2	85.99	1.95	6.09	0.11
ILMN_123183 7	Pcdh9	14	5.9	92.60	0.81	12.70	0.01
ILMN_122390 1	D230045G11Ri k	14	40.6	92.60	1.08	12.70	-0.17
ILMN_121433 7	LOC386196	14	8.5	92.60	10.62	12.70	-0.06
ILMN_263929 1	Cln5	14	10.1	92.60	10.88	12.70	-0.13
		М	aximum Run	ning Speed, (Days S	5+6)/2		
ILMN_121290 7	D430039N05Ri k	2	62.1	82.78	0.95	9.02	0.12
ILMN_308893 4	2700094K13Rik	2	4.7	82.78	1.73	9.02	0.07
ILMN_125447 3	LOC241525	2	67.6	82.78	1.80	9.02	0.08
ILMN_296655 8	Ypel4	2	59.6	82.78	1.80	9.02	0.11
ILMN_277542 1	Serping1	2	8.2	82.78	1.83	9.02	0.03
ILMN_289529 3	BC003993	2	96.6	82.78	5.04	9.02	-0.10
ILMN_275671 6	Nup160	2	52.5	82.78	7.80	9.02	0.06

Mtch2	2	17.3	82.78	7.93	9.02	0.06
Ddb2	2	7.9	82.78	8.28	9.02	-0.02
Zfp289	2	86.6	82.78	8.34	9.02	0.12
2810004N20Ri	2	13.7	89.55	1.20	2.25	0.09
D030051N19Ri k	2	30.8	89.55	2.21	2.25	0.07
Timm13a	2	13.0	89.55	4.88	2.25	0.05
Nckap1	2	8.8	89.55	9.21	2.25	0.13
D330036A12Ri k	2	76.7	89.60	1.20	2.25	-0.12
Mybpc3	2	13.0	89.60	1.40	2.25	-0.12
Pacsin3	2	14.6	91.83	0.73	0.03	-0.09
Kai1	2	9.0	91.83	1.43	0.03	-0.12
Traf6	2	6.8	99.04	2.50	7.24	0.04
Ttc17	2	6.3	99.04	4.90	7.24	0.01
4732486123Rik	2	17.4	99.04	5.55	7.24	0.15
Syt13	2	10.3	99.04	6.24	7.24	-0.06
Cd44	2	4.4	102.76	0.10	10.96	-0.01
Fjx1	2	43.1	102.76	0.47	10.96	-0.06
Cat	2	42.9	102.76	0.54	10.96	-0.13
Fbxo3	2	10.6	102.76	1.14	10.96	0.08
Cd59b	2	19.2	102.76	1.16	10.96	0.07
G2-pending	2	18.4	102.76	1.23	10.96	0.15
Cstf3	2	4.8	102.76	1.69	10.96	0.16
B930083D07Ri k	2	35.3	102.76	1.77	10.96	0.04
Nola3	2	5.1	109.20	2.90	17.40	0.01
BC052040	2	4.6	109.20	6.41	17.40	-0.05
Sgne1	2	26.6	113.18	0.43	21.38	-0.04
2610510L01Rik	11	21.1	3.78	0.86	6.12	-0.16
1110014L17Rik	11	16.8	3.78	2.39	6.12	-0.07
lgfbp3	11	4.6	7.05	0.06	2.85	-0.02
	Mtch2Ddb2Zfp2892810004N20RikO30051N19RihDasaoa51N19RiNckap1D330036A12RiMybpc3Pacsin3Taf6Taf6Ta732486123RikSyt13Cd44Fjx1Cd59bGatFbxo3G2-pendingCat59Syn083D07RiB30083D07RiSgne1Sgne1110014L17RikIgfbp3	Mtch22Ddb22Zfp28922810004N20Ri k2D30051N19Ri k2Timm13a2Nckap12D330036A12Ri k2Mybpc32Tafa2Taff2Taff2Syt132Cd442Fix12Fbxo32Gat2G2-pending2Rolds3D07Ri k2Synal2Signe12Signe111J110014L17Rik k11	Mtch2217.3Ddb227.9Zfp289286.62810004N20Ri R213.7D030051N19Ri R230.8Timm13a213.0Nckap128.8D330036A12Ri R276.7Mybpc3213.0Pacsin3214.6Traf626.8Traf626.3Traf626.3Syt13210.3Cd4424.4Fjx1243.1Cat210.6Fbxo3210.6R235.3Rola325.1Sgne1226.6Signe1226.6Signe11116.8Igfbp3114.6	Mtch2217.382.78Ddb227.982.78Zfp289286.682.78 $2^{R1004N20Ri}$ 213.789.55 $2^{R1004N20Ri}$ 230.889.55Timn13a213.089.55Nckap128.889.55 $2^{R10036A12Ri}$ 276.789.60Mybp3213.089.60Pacsin3214.691.83Traf626.899.04Ttc1726.399.04Ttc1726.399.04Syt13210.399.04Fy1243.1102.76Fy1243.1102.76Fy1219.2102.76Fox3218.4102.76Catf3218.4102.76Catf3219.2102.76Sp30083D07Ri218.4102.76Signe125.1109.20Signe125.1109.20Signe125.3102.76Signe1226.6113.18Signe1226.6113.18Signe1121.43.78Signe31116.83.78Signe31114.67.05	Ntch227.382.787.93Ddb227.982.788.28Zfp289286.68.788.34 810004N20Ri 230.389.551.20D0300511199i230.889.554.88Nckap128.889.559.21Timm13a276.789.601.20Mybp3213.089.601.40Pacsin3214.691.830.73Ka126.899.042.50Traf626.399.045.55Syt13210.399.046.24Cd4426.399.046.24Fix126.399.046.24Cd442102.760.10Fix1210.6102.761.14Cd59210.6102.761.14Cd59210.6102.761.43Gr47210.21.271.43Syn3210.2102.761.44Cd59210.4102.761.43Ge192102.761.431.43Gr492102.761.43Gr492102.761.43Gr492102.761.43Gr492102.761.43Gr492102.761.43Gr492102.761.43Gr4925.51.43Gr40 <t< td=""><td>Mtch2217.382.787.939.02Dib227.982.788.289.02Zip289286.682.788.349.02RamodANZOR DC23.0789.551.202.25Dim13a213.089.554.882.25Timm13a28.889.559.212.25Nckap128.889.559.212.25P30306412R27.6789.601.402.25Mybp2213.089.601.402.25Pasin329.09.1831.430.03Tird626.89.042.507.24Tit2726.39.044.907.24Sy13027.49.045.557.24Sy14128.14102.760.1010.96Fix128.14102.760.4710.96Fix3210.2102.761.1410.96Gabaros Dorr29.3102.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.16</td></t<>	Mtch2217.382.787.939.02Dib227.982.788.289.02Zip289286.682.788.349.02RamodANZOR DC23.0789.551.202.25Dim13a213.089.554.882.25Timm13a28.889.559.212.25Nckap128.889.559.212.25P30306412R27.6789.601.402.25Mybp2213.089.601.402.25Pasin329.09.1831.430.03Tird626.89.042.507.24Tit2726.39.044.907.24Sy13027.49.045.557.24Sy14128.14102.760.1010.96Fix128.14102.760.4710.96Fix3210.2102.761.1410.96Gabaros Dorr29.3102.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.1610.96Gabaros Dorr25.511.02.761.16

ILMN_271983 4	Ccm2	11	17.7	7.05	0.56	2.85	-0.10
ILMN_289108 5	AB182283	11	15.4	7.05	0.56	2.85	-0.08
ILMN_268834 5	H2afv	11	93.1	7.05	0.73	2.85	-0.13
ILMN_121714 7	C130060B01Ri k	11	37.6	7.05	1.02	2.85	-0.11
ILMN_123600 9	Camk2b	11	10.3	7.05	1.18	2.85	0.07
ILMN_281789 2	Pold2	11	7.3	7.05	1.28	2.85	0.01
ILMN_251132 7	2210015D19Ri k	11	29.8	7.05	1.37	2.85	-0.10
ILMN_126033 1	LOC383042	11	23.6	7.05	1.37	2.85	0.14
ILMN_121411 7	9530065M15Ri k	11	16.8	7.05	1.80	2.85	-0.06
ILMN_123772 9	Nefh	11	47.3	7.05	2.22	2.85	-0.10
ILMN_261986 1	Nipsnap1	11	43.0	7.05	2.26	2.85	-0.10
ILMN_283722 6	Nudcd3	11	10.0	7.10	1.00	2.85	0.10
ILMN_122537 0	2410039M03Ri k	11	5.2	9.90	7.20	0.04	-0.02
ILMN_295929 1	Upp1	11	6.4	9.94	0.90	0.04	0.07
ILMN_122917 5	Hus1	11	4.5	9.94	1.05	0.04	0.04
ILMN_249146 9	Tens1	11	9.1	9.94	1.49	0.04	-0.14
ILMN_258881 5	Pgam2	11	6.3	13.51	7.81	3.61	-0.03
ILMN_265229 1	4930527B16Ri k	11	5.1	46.24	1.96	6.96	0.05
ILMN_126025 2	Trim41	11	10.9	46.24	2.38	6.96	0.02
ILMN_314467 3	Zfp62	11	8.6	46.24	2.79	6.96	0.13
ILMN_125122 0	2700008N14Ri k	11	6.5	46.24	3.74	6.96	-0.17
ILMN_263283 9	1300007L22Rik	11	17.4	53.20	0.00	0.04	-0.07
ILMN_262114 8	Kif3a	11	99.7	53.20	0.20	0.04	-0.23
ILMN_123666 6	Acsl6	11	66.2	53.20	0.90	0.04	-0.18
ILMN_123072 6	5430406J06Rik	11	34.6	53.20	1.40	0.04	-0.12
ILMN_125117 0	Laf4l	11	40.6	53.24	0.00	0.04	0.13
ILMN_125226 3	Uqcrb	11	35.8	53.24	0.01	0.04	-0.10
ILMN_123690 6	D11Ertd497e	11	10.9	53.24	1.45	0.04	-0.11
ILMN_271554 6	Gpx3	11	6.1	53.24	1.49	0.04	0.13

ILMN_241786 3	Tnip1	11	32.6	53.24	1.49	0.04	0.12
ILMN_124321 2	Sparc	11	63.1	53.24	1.97	0.04	-0.19
ILMN_122625 9	Adamts2	11	15.5	53.24	2.62	0.04	0.07
ILMN_273478 9	BC003251	11	7.5	53.24	4.92	0.04	0.03
ILMN_263570 8	3100002J23Rik	11	23.5	53.24	5.37	0.04	0.14
ILMN_259760 6	Gja12	11	16.5	53.24	5.75	0.04	0.11
ILMN_264801 2	Gria1	11	6.8	56.50	0.64	3.30	0.08
ILMN_309546 2	Mfap3	11	12.1	56.50	0.84	3.30	-0.09
ILMN_287878 1	Galnt10	11	23.3	56.50	1.10	3.30	-0.23
ILMN_125142 3	2010001A14Ri k	11	32.6	56.50	1.10	3.30	-0.21
ILMN_312878 4	Sap30l	11	19.2	56.50	1.12	3.30	0.15
ILMN_245972 0	2510010K19Rik	11	5.9	56.50	1.32	3.30	-0.09
ILMN_259355 4	lgtp	11	7.7	56.50	1.52	3.30	-0.12
ILMN_125956 4	AI481100	11	4.6	56.50	1.53	3.30	-0.11
ILMN_267466 8	2810021J22Rik	11	4.4	56.50	2.19	3.30	0.14
ILMN_296757 6	Guk1	11	7.1	56.50	2.49	3.30	0.10
ILMN_258353 0	7530424I01Rik	11	6.1	56.50	3.21	3.30	-0.13
ILMN_125525 9	AW050020	11	4.6	56.50	3.86	3.30	-0.03
ILMN_271396 9	Eppb9	11	15.3	56.50	4.82	3.30	-0.01
ILMN_272754 6	D930048N14Ri k	11	4.7	56.50	5.03	3.30	-0.15
ILMN_297943 0	Thg1l	11	7.4	56.50	10.74	3.30	0.04
			% Cha	nge in Body Mass			
ILMN_124732 7	E030022H21	11	6.5	102.35	102.35	2.65	0.03
ILMN_124209 3	A230005G17Ri k	11	24.0	102.35	102.35	2.65	-0.02
ILMN_266259 5	Мрр3	11	6.6	102.35	102.35	2.65	0.00
ILMN_121388 6	Meox1	11	5.6	102.35	102.35	2.65	0.03
ILMN_276528 7	Ccdc56	11	10.5	102.35	102.35	2.65	0.04
ILMN_251874 4	Prkwnk4	11	6.7	102.35	102.35	2.65	-0.01
ILMN_125653 8	LOC211895	11	9.9	102.35	102.35	2.65	0.08

ILMN_288024							
6 11 MN 121789	Smarce1	11	4.6	102.35	102.35	2.65	0.02
	Prkca	11	5.1	102.35	102.35	2.65	-0.06
3	k	11	9.9	102.35	102.35	2.65	-0.03
ILMN_123363 7	LOC383899	11	4.4	102.35	102.35	2.65	0.05
ILMN_246489 8	X83328	11	4.7	105.37	105.37	0.37	-0.02
ILMN_282262 2	Mrpl10	11	5.6	105.37	105.37	0.37	-0.04
ILMN_275425 3	Gna13	11	8.6	108.68	108.68	3.68	-0.04
ILMN_284043 0	BC029169	11	5.5	108.68	108.68	3.68	-0.04
ILMN_272569							
8 ILMN 123260	Cacng5	11	28.3	108.68	108.68	3.68	-0.17
1 II MN 268954	Cyb561	11	15.1	108.68	108.68	3.68	0.02
0	Acbd4	11	6.2	108.68	108.68	3.68	-0.16
			% Cł	nange in % Fat N	lass		
ILMN_242470	4000 4001 000:1	4	0.6	470.02	170.00	7.00	0.05
3 ILMN_291441	4933426L22Rik	1	9.6	170.02	170.02	7.98	0.05
6 II MN 268577	Ncstn	1	12.8	170.02	170.02	7.98	-0.21
	Ltap	1	27.6	170.02	170.02	7.98	-0.23
9	Usp21	1	18.7	170.02	170.02	7.98	-0.10
ILMN_254514 9	6330408P19Rik	1	8.2	170.02	170.02	7.98	-0.13
ILMN_244640 5	LOC98434	1	9.5	170.02	170.02	7.98	-0.04
ILMN_255966 9	Pbx1	1	74.2	170.02	170.02	7.98	0.16
ILMN_274888 0	4833414E09Rik	1	18.7	170.02	170.02	7.98	0.13
ILMN_257043 6	A630043I21Rik	1	9.6	170.02	170.02	7.98	0.09
ILMN_260866	lawd1	1	8.6	171 81	171 81	6 10	0 17
ILMN_123963	Dana 2	1	45.7	171.01	171.01	6.10	0.17
8 ILMN_125412	Рарраг	1	15.7	1/1.81	171.81	6.19	0.19
3 ILMN 125462	Usp23	1	11.1	171.81	171.81	6.19	-0.08
2 II MN 259414	Pcp4l1	1	5.0	171.81	171.81	6.19	-0.11
9 ILMN 269740	Sdhc	1	18.1	171.81	171.81	6.19	0.04
3	Fcgr3	1	42.4	171.81	171.81	6.19	0.16
1LIVIN_250254 2	Uap1	1	78.2	171.81	171.81	6.19	-0.20
ILMN_297982 4	Rgs5	1	6.4	171.81	171.81	6.19	0.09
-	0	-				. =-	

ILMN_288053							
6 II MN 282681	Uck2	1	11.7	175.07	175.07	2.93	-0.31*
6 II MN 269726	Ррох	1	24.0	175.07	175.07	2.93	-0.14
6	Refbp2	1	7.7	175.07	175.07	2.93	-0.05
9	Fmn2	1	56.8	175.07	175.07	2.93	-0.18
1LMN_268190 6	Сора	1	21.7	175.07	175.07	2.93	-0.15
ILMN_297083 4	Pex19	1	45.2	175.07	175.07	2.93	-0.14
ILMN_262493 8	Pea15	1	8.2	175.07	175.07	2.93	0.01
ILMN_124241 5	Kcnj9	1	33.8	175.07	175.07	2.93	0.09
ILMN_316086 3	Dusp23	1	19.0	175.07	175.07	2.93	-0.13
ILMN_125466 3	lgsf4b	1	16.6	175.07	175.07	2.93	0.05
ILMN_265674 8	Dfy	1	14.6	175.07	175.07	2.93	-0.12
ILMN_123256 1	Rxrg	1	6.4	178.74	178.74	0.74	-0.13
ILMN_314839	Enro	1	11 /	178 7/	178 74	0.74	0.11
ILMN_123015	Adamts4	1	15 /	170.74	170.74	0.74	0.11
2 ILMN_274469	1110021H02Ri	1	15.4	1/8./4	1/8./4	0.74	0.01
3 ILMN_270947	k	1	6.7	178.74	178.74	0.74	-0.13
0 ILMN_299854	Degs	1	6.8	178.74	178.74	0.74	0.04
8 ILMN_123721	Pycr2	1	15.1	178.74	178.74	0.74	-0.13
9 ILMN 252189	Rgs7 scl0015365.1	1	14.8	178.74	178.74	0.74	-0.06
- 3 II MN 125185	6	1	32.8	178.74	178.74	0.74	0.11
6 II MN 263570	Gpr125	5	64.8	49.99	49.99	40.01	0.02
0	Lgi2	5	27.1	52.90	52.90	37.10	-0.06
1 1	Uchl1	5	7.6	65.04	65.04	24.96	0.09
4	k	5	5.0	65.04	65.04	24.96	0.05
ILMN_245785 4	B230308N11Ri k	5	12.0	65.04	65.04	24.96	0.06
ILMN_265664 5	AA536743	5	4.3	66.45	66.45	23.55	-0.06
ILMN_262860 3	3732412D22Ri k	5	13.1	66.45	66.45	23.55	-0.08
ILMN_125525 6	Sgcb	5	20.5	67.96	67.96	22.04	-0.05
ILMN_124913 9	B230209J16Rik	5	7.6	67.96	67.96	22.04	0.05
ILMN_250981 7	Atp8a1	5	48.0	67.96	67.96	22 04	0.07
	. upour	5	.5.0	07.50	07.50	22.04	5.07

ILMN_123519							
6 ILMN_122523	Atp10d 2310004H21Ri	5	12.5	77.23	77.23	12.77	-0.11
4 ILMN 124454	k 6330444G18Ri	5	5.2	77.23	77.23	12.77	0.00
5 II MN 122539	k	5	58.5	77.23	77.23	12.77	-0.14
0	Asrij	5	41.6	77.23	77.23	12.77	0.03
8 8	1810027I20Rik	5	26.0	77.23	77.23	12.77	0.11
2 II MN 268322	Srd5a2l	5	29.0	77.23	77.23	12.77	0.03
2 II MN 283546	Srd5a2l 2610024G14Bi	5	36.9	77.23	77.23	12.77	0.01
1 II MN 254801	k	5	5.3	77.23	77.23	12.77	-0.04
0 II MN 274782	1110018K11Rik	5	25.1	77.23	77.23	12.77	-0.08
8 II MN 316325	2810407P21Rik C130090K23Ri	5	52.8	77.23	77.23	12.77	0.11
5 II MN 267077	k C530008M17Ri	5	13.3	78.14	78.14	11.86	0.12
5 II MN 267546	k	5	7.8	78.14	78.14	11.86	-0.09
4 II MN 263303	Ankrd17	5	30.9	90.09	90.09	0.09	0.08
9 II MN 300201	BC038311	5	49.6	90.09	90.09	0.09	-0.15
1 II MN 270023	Cox18	5	12.5	93.05	93.05	3.05	-0.13
3 II MN 266674	Ccng2	5	73.8	93.05	93.05	3.05	0.07
7 II MN 244912	E430034L04Rik	5	11.1	93.05	93.05	3.05	-0.15
0 II MN 125027	D5Ertd606e	5	17.6	93.05	93.05	3.05	-0.13
8 II MN 266321	Sdad1	5	6.0	93.05	93.05	3.05	0.07
1 II MN 257452	D5Ertd593e A930011G23Ri	5	11.9	93.05	93.05	3.05	0.01
9 ILMN 313578	k	5	4.9	96.86	96.86	6.86	0.03
1 ILMN 298046	Anxa3	5	19.5	96.86	96.86	6.86	-0.01
6 ILMN 123758	ldua 0710007G10Ri	5	13.2	99.48	99.48	9.48	-0.01
1 ILMN 123837	k	5	15.1	99.48	99.48	9.48	0.06
4 II MN 286632	Cdc7	5	4.5	99.48	99.48	9.48	0.02
7 II MN 277811	Pkd2	5	19.3	99.48	99.48	9.48	-0.05
2 II MN 255776	Mapk10 C430014K22Ri	5	10.0	99.48	99.48	9.48	0.21
2 II MN 300926	k	5	6.2	99.48	99.48	9.48	0.06
0	Coq2	5	10.4	99.48	99.48	9.48	0.04

ILMN_123265	C820017N15Ri						
9	k	5	19.2	99.48	99.48	9.48	0.07
			% Ch	anae in % Lean	Mass		
ILMN 123519			, e e n	ange /e _ean			
6	Atp10d	5	12.5	77.23	77.23	15.77	0.14
ILMN_122523	2310004H21Ri						
4	k	5	5.2	77.23	77.23	15.77	-0.06
ILMN_124454	6330444G18Ri	-		77.00		45.33	0.07
5	K	5	58.5	//.23	//.23	15.//	0.07
0	۵srii	5	41.6	77 23	77 23	15 77	0.00
ILMN 248217	7.511	5	41.0	77.25	77.25	13.77	0.00
8	1810027I20Rik	5	26.0	77.23	77.23	15.77	-0.06
ILMN_268322							
2	Srd5a2l	5	36.9	77.23	77.23	15.77	0.01
ILMN_283546	2610024G14Ri	_					
1 UNAN 254801	k	5	5.3	77.23	77.23	15.77	-0.03
1LIVIIN_254801	1110018K11Rik	5	25.1	77 23	77 23	15 77	0.08
ILMN 316325	C130090K23Ri	J	23.1	11.25	11.25	15.77	0.08
5	k	5	13.3	78.14	78.14	14.86	-0.07
ILMN_267077	C530008M17Ri						
5	k	5	7.8	78.14	78.14	14.86	0.09
ILMN_267546							
4	Ankrd17	5	30.9	90.09	90.09	2.91	-0.08
ILIVIN_263303	PC029211	5	10.6	00.00	00.00	2 01	0 1 2
JIMN 300201	BC036511	5	49.0	90.09	90.09	2.91	0.15
1	Cox18	5	12.5	93.05	93.05	0.05	0.10
ILMN 270023		-	-				
3	Ccng2	5	73.8	93.05	93.05	0.05	-0.06
ILMN_266674							
7	E430034L04Rik	5	11.1	93.05	93.05	0.05	0.10
ILMN_244912		-	17.0	02.05	02.05	0.05	0.10
U II MINI 125027	DSErtabube	5	17.6	93.05	93.05	0.05	0.10
8	Sdad1	5	6.0	93.05	93.05	0.05	-0.08
ILMN 257452	A930011G23Ri	0	0.0	55.65	55.00	0.00	0.00
9	k	5	4.9	96.86	96.86	3.86	-0.08
ILMN_313578							
1	Anxa3	5	19.5	96.86	96.86	3.86	-0.02
ILMN_298046		_				<u> </u>	
	Idua	5	13.2	99.48	99.48	6.48	0.01
1LIVIN_123758	0/1000/G10Ki	5	15 1	99 / 8	99.48	6.48	-0.03
ILMN 123837	ĸ	J	13.1	55.40	55.40	0.48	-0.05
4	Cdc7	5	4.5	99.48	99.48	6.48	-0.05
ILMN_286632							
7	Pkd2	5	19.3	99.48	99.48	6.48	0.05
ILMN_277811							
2	Mapk10	5	10.0	99.48	99.48	6.48	-0.15
ILMIN_255776	C430014K22Ri	-	6.2	00.49	00.49	C 49	0.02
∠ IIMN 300926	N	э	0.2	33.48	99.4ð	U.4ð	-0.02
0	Cog2	5	10.4	99.48	99.48	6.48	-0.03
ILMN_123265	C820017N15Ri	-		-	-	-	
9	k	5	19.2	99.48	99.48	6.48	-0.05

Functional Genomic Architecture of Predisposition to Voluntary Exercise in Mice: Expression QTL in the Brain

Supporting Information for Kelly et al, 2012

Supporting Information

- **<u>Supporting Information</u>** Files S1-S6 and Tables S1 and S2 (PDF, 214 KB)
- <u>Table S1</u> Top correlations (partial, adjusted for sex and parent of origin) between exercise / body composition traits and transcript levels (PDF, 79 KB)
- <u>**Table S2**</u> Candidate genes based on proximity to QTL for exercise and change in body weight/composition in response to exercise (PDF, 179 KB)
- <u>File S1</u> Complete genotypes (.zip, 91 KB)
- File S2 Following Gordon et al. (2010), transcript expression profiles were normalized using Loess-Quantile normalization methods with R v 2.8.1 statistical software (R Development Core Team; www.r-project.com, lumi package) (.zip, 1 KB)
- File S3 Non-normalized and normalized transcript files (.zip, 141.9 MB)
- File S4 Non-normalized and normalized transcript files (.zip, 11.6 MB)
- File S5 Non-normalized and normalized transcript files (.zip, 11.8 MB)
- File S6 Non-normalized and normalized transcript files (.zip, 37 KB)